

# A Multiscale Modelling Framework for E3SM

### Walter Hannah<sup>1</sup>

Dave Bader<sup>1</sup>, Jungmin Lee<sup>1</sup>, Matthew Norman<sup>2</sup>, Sarat Sreepathi<sup>2</sup>, Ruby Leung<sup>3</sup>, Kyle Pressel<sup>3</sup>, Chris Jones<sup>3</sup>, Guangxing Lin<sup>3</sup>, Mikhail Ovchinnikov<sup>3</sup>, Mark Taylor<sup>4</sup>, Benjamin Hillman<sup>4</sup>, Andrew Bradley<sup>4</sup>, Chris Eldred<sup>4</sup>, Xingqiu Yuan<sup>5</sup>, Nick Romero<sup>5</sup>, Mike Pritchard<sup>6</sup>

> <sup>1</sup> Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory <sup>2</sup> Oak Ridge National Laboratory <sup>3</sup> Pacific Northwest National Laboratory <sup>4</sup> Sandia National Laboratory <sup>5</sup> Argonne National Laboratory <sup>6</sup> UC Irvine

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF** 

ENERGY



This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract DE-AC52-07NA27344.

### Enhancing the Resolved Scales



Global Resolution:~100 km

### **Enhancing the Resolved Scales**



# **MMF** Pros and Cons

#### Promising

- Explicit representation of clouds and cloud-radiative feedbacks
- Explicit (3D) or semi-explicit (2D) moment feedback (i.e. CMT)
- Semi-explicit cloud-aerosol feedbacks
- MMFs have a history of improving convectively coupled phenomena (ex. MJO)

#### Mixed

- The scale gap provides "computational leverage" that can be exploited for performance
- Precipitation and turbulence statistics are sensitive to CRM domain size and grid

#### Problematic

- Higher cost makes development/testing difficult, especially climate reproducibility
- The scale gap stops small scale variance from being transported on the global grid

# The Exascale Computing Project



We are focused on making E3SM-MMF viable for climate simulations by making it *faster* 

# The Exascale Computing Project



We are focused on making E3SM-MMF viable for climate simulations by making it *faster* 

#### **Hardware Acceleration**

- The CRMs are independent so they can be offloaded to a GPU
- Radiation can be similarly accelerated



# The Exascale Computing Project



We are focused on making E3SM-MMF viable for climate simulations by making it *faster* 

#### **Hardware Acceleration**

- The CRMs are independent so they can be offloaded to a GPU
- Radiation can be similarly accelerated

#### **Algorithmic Acceleration**

- The scale separation of the models can be exploited to reduce the number of CRM time steps
- Radiation is done on groups of columns to reduce the workload,
  and aerosol optics are done once per physics column





### OpenACC vs C++ vs OpenMP

The ability to run on future hardware is crucial for the success of the MMF, and multiple versions of the CRM may be needed to maintain support across different architectures

#### OpenACC vs C++ vs OpenMP

The ability to run on future hardware is crucial for the success of the MMF, and multiple versions of the CRM may be needed to maintain support across different architectures

OpenACC was used initially to run the Fortran CRM on GPUs, but this was problematic

#### OpenACC vs C++ vs OpenMP

The ability to run on future hardware is crucial for the success of the MMF, and multiple versions of the CRM may be needed to maintain support across different architectures

OpenACC was used initially to run the Fortran CRM on GPUs, but this was problematic

The CRM was rewritten in C++ and has good performance on Summit GPUs —

### GPU speed-up of 2D CRM (32x1) masked by radiation



~10-15x GPU speed-up of 3D CRM (32x32)

### OpenACC vs C++ vs OpenMP

The ability to run on future hardware is crucial for the success of the MMF, and multiple versions of the CRM may be needed to maintain support across different architectures

- OpenACC was used initially to run the Fortran CRM on GPUs, but this was problematic
- The CRM was rewritten in C++ and has good performance on Summit GPUs —
- An OpenMP version of the Fortran CRM is also being pursued

GPU speed-up of 2D CRM (32x1) masked by radiation



~10-15x GPU speed-up of 3D CRM (32x32)

## Early Problems (and Solutions)

The code was ported from SP-CAM and we immediately ran into problems using the SE dycor and finer vertical grid of E3SM

- Instability in the CRM turbulence scheme
- Enhanced "fog" bias due to thinner levels
- Grid imprinting from spectral GLL grid

The grid imprinting problem motivated the new FV physics grid, which resolves the imprinting and improves performance Resolved with turbulent CFL Resolved with stronger mixing Resolved with new FV physics grid -



# Feedbacks to E3SM

The unique problems we encountered with the MMF have led us to contribute to E3SM development:

- Aquaplanet and RCE compsets
- C++ and GPU porting of RRTMGP
- Finite volume physics grid

(i.e. physgrid)

- New tool for hindcast initial conditions (i.e. HICCUP)
- YAKL for performance portability (temporary alternative to Kokkos)



# The Variance Trapping Problem

After we started running on the physgrid we started noticing oddly "*stuck*" pixels in animations on the native grid...

We also noticed some "*checkerboard*" noise was present in the precipitation climatology





# The Variance Trapping Problem

The MMF coupling only considers the CRM domain mean, so smaller scales cannot be advected on the global grid

Convective circulations can become *"trapped"* at the largest scales locking the CRM in an **active state** –

Large-scale dynamics causes downstream CRMs to become locked in a relatively **quiescent state** 

Note that the issue is relatively "*small*" when compared to typical biases

#### GCM grid liquid water path



#### CRM grid water vapor



# Future Plans - SAM, meet PAM



The current CRM code has diverged from SAM (now with more C++!), but we are also building a new CRM from scratch!

### The **Portable Atmospheric Model (PAM)** will be written in C++

- Optimized for MMF performance
- New physics from SCREAM (P3/SHOC)
- New dynamics
  - High-order finite volume using WENO methods
  - ADER time stepping for long time steps
  - Anelastic (potentially with Compressible option)
- Standalone capability for testing, development, and science



We have reproduced many previous MMF results and current efforts are focused on enhancing the MMF for climate experiments:

• Add P3+SHOC to CRM for comparison w/ SCREAM

- Add P3+SHOC to CRM for comparison w/ SCREAM
- Semi-explicit cloud-aerosol interaction (ECPP)



- Add P3+SHOC to CRM for comparison w/ SCREAM
- Semi-explicit cloud-aerosol interaction (ECPP)
- Direct Coupling between the CRM and land (MAML) with flexibility for land heterogeneity across CRM



- Add P3+SHOC to CRM for comparison w/ SCREAM
- Semi-explicit cloud-aerosol interaction (ECPP)
- Direct Coupling between the CRM and land (MAML) with flexibility for land heterogeneity across CRM
- Tuning the CRM for coupled experiments



We have reproduced many previous MMF results and current efforts are focused on enhancing the MMF for climate experiments:

- Add P3+SHOC to CRM for comparison w/ SCREAM
- Semi-explicit cloud-aerosol interaction (ECPP)
- Direct Coupling between the CRM and land (MAML) with flexibility for land heterogeneity across CRM

Large-scale Advecting Flow

- Tuning the CRM for coupled experiments
- CRM variance transport

GCN MMF CRM Coupling Tracer Transport **Concentrations** Statistics Semi-Explicit Aerosol Transport/Processes **CRM** Columns

#### Land Model Instances

# In Summary...

### E3SM-MMF will be viable for climate experiments in v4

- The MMF approach is well suited for utilizing GPUs
- Performance will be portable across current and upcoming DOE machines
- Throughput is on par with traditionally parameterized models, which allows for multi-decadal experiments
- Explicit convection can overcome long-standing parameterization issues