Regional grid refinement: unexpected effects on Gulf Stream path and Atlantic overturning

Kevin L. Rosa^{1,2*}, Mark R. Petersen¹, Steven R. Brus³, Darren Engwirda^{4,5}, Kristin E. Hoch¹, Mathew E. Maltrud³, Luke P. Van Roekel³, Phillip J. Wolfram³,

¹ Computational Physics and Methods (CCS-2), Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA
² Graduate School of Oceanography, University of Rhode Island, Narragansett, Rhode Island, USA
³ Fluid Dynamics and Solid Mechanics (T-3), Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, USA
⁴NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies, New York, NY, USA
⁵Center for Climate Systems Research, Columbia University, New York, NY, USA

Overview

- Testing new coastal-refined variable resolution mesh
- One goal: Hoping to improve Gulf Stream path and strength



Overview

- Testing new coastal-refined variable resolution mesh
- One goal: Hoping to improve Gulf Stream path and strength



- Showed some improvements (e.g. EKE) but did not fix Gulf Stream bias
- This motivated development of a *new* coastal-refined mesh which shows promising preliminary results



Note: A key difference from Kristen's work

>> Here I'm using realistic atmospheric forcing (CORE v2)

Looking for good agreement with observations and with high-res results from Petersen et al. (2019)

Intro/Motivation

- 1. Why do we want higher resolution models?
- 2. What's stopping us from running higher resolution models? And what are some solutions?
- 3. Ways to design an unstructured mesh

1. Why do we want higher resolution models?



Gulf Stream surface relative vorticity - 1.5 km ROMS simulation.

source: Jonathan Gula, Université de Bretagne Occidentale <u>http://stockage.univ-brest.fr/~gula/movies.html</u>



momentum equation:

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial t} + \eta \mathbf{k} \times \mathbf{u} + w \frac{\partial \mathbf{u}}{\partial z} = -\frac{1}{\rho_0} \nabla p - \frac{\rho g}{\rho_0} \nabla z^{mid} - \nabla K + \mathbf{D}_h^u + \mathbf{D}_v^u + \mathcal{F}^u$$

thickness equation:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (h\overline{\mathbf{u}}^z) + w|_{z=s^{top}} - w|_{z=s^{bot}} = 0$$

tracer equation:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}h\overline{\varphi}^{z} + \nabla\cdot(h\overline{\varphi}\mathbf{u}^{z}) + \left.\varphi w\right|_{z=s^{top}} - \left.\varphi w\right|_{z=s^{bot}} = D_{h}^{\varphi} + D_{v}^{\varphi} + \mathcal{F}^{\varphi}$$

hydrostatic condition:

$$p(x, y, z) = p^s(x, y) + \int_z^{z^s} \rho g dz'$$

 $equation \ of \ state:$

$$\rho = f_{eos}(\Theta, S, p)$$

Primitive Equations (incompressible hydrostatic Boussinesq) MPAS-Ocean Model User's Guide 2.0 (2013)

Chessboard graphic: <u>http://mathworld.wolfram.com/Chessboard.html</u>



>> A low-resolution simulation that runs in a day now takes 2 months to run

Table 1.Setup and performance

	Low-resolution	Coastal-refined	High-resolution
Mesh name	EC60to30	CUSP8	RRS18to6
Horizontal Grid Cells (ocean)	235k	645k	3.69 mil
Cell Size: min-max	30–60 km	8–60 km	618 km
Vertical Layers	60	60	80
Time step	$30 \min$	$10 \min$	$6 \min$
Simulated years per day	13.18	4.55	0.77
Total cores (ocean $+$ sea ice $+$ coupler)	960	2160	3600
Million CPU hours per century	0.17 a	1 1 b	11 9 b
Cost vs. low-resolution	×1.0	$\times 6.5$	$\times 65.9$
^a compy mcnodeface ^b blues			







Table 1. Setup and performance

	Low-resolution	Coastal-refined	High-resolution
Mesh name	EC60to30	CUSP8	RRS18to6
Horizontal Grid Cells (ocean)	235k	645k	3.69 mil
Cell Size: min-max	30–60 km	$8-60 \mathrm{~km}$	$618~\mathrm{km}$
Vertical Layers	60	60	80
Time step	$30 \min$	$10 \min$	$6 \min$
Simulated years per day	13.18	4.55	0.77
Total cores (ocean $+$ sea ice $+$ coupler)	960	2160	3600
Million CPU hours per century	0.17 a	11	11 9 b
Cost vs. low-resolution	×1.0	$\times 6.5$	$\times 65.9$
^a compy mcnodeface			
^b blues			

3. Ways to design an unstructured mesh



MPAS-Ocean standard meshes



Scaled by Rossby Radius Sein et al. (2017)



Scaled by observed SSH variability Sein et al. (2016)



60 km global, 15 km Southern Ocean Rosa et al. (2018) AGU Poster

3. Ways to design an unstructured mesh



Cost:

x1

Results part 1: California Upwelling

Motivation:



<1% of ocean area supports:

- * 5% of marine primary production (Carr, 2002) and
- * 20% of fisheries catch (Chavez and Messié, 2009)

Results part 1: California Upwelling



1 ^-5

Results part 1: California Upwelling



Wavenumber power spectral analysis: Quantifying what we saw by eye





Motivations:

* Path: Low-resolution MPAS-O (and many other climate models) has unrealistic Gulf Stream (GS) path.

>> Large SST bias in western North Atlantic



SST bias: Low-res model - Observations Petersen et al. (2019)

Florida-Bahamas Transport Petersen et al. (2019)

EC60to30	RRS18to6	Observations
17.6 Sv	30.1 Sv	31.5 Sv

* Transport: Low-res GS transport is much weaker than high-res and observations.









Going to build this figure up piece by piece















1. Deep Western Boundary Current



(Jack Cook, WHOI Graphics Services)



Fig. 6. Circulation pattern in meridionally bounded ocean with concentrated source S_0 at North Pole and a uniformly distributed sink Q_0 , such that $S_0 = Q_0 a^2 (\phi_2 - \phi_1)$.

Stommel and Arons (1959a)



(Eric S. Taylor, WHOI Graphics Services. Base map from NOAA)

2. Wind-driven Gyre





Stewart (2008) Fig 11.5 recreation of Stommel (1948) Fig. 4+5 <u>https://www.colorado.edu/oclab/sites/default/files/attached-files/stewart_textbook.pdf</u>

	Gyre → → ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Deep Western Boundary Current	Sub-tropical Gyre	
Southward transport	Southward transport	
Bouyancy-driven	Wind-driven	
Narrow, fast current	Broad; slow speeds	
Coastal-refined resolution	Coarse resolution	

























Gulf Stream path influenced by resolution?



Gulf Stream path influenced by resolution?





MERCINA Working Group (2012)

Tail of the Grand Banks: key "pinch point"

GS / Labrador Current interactions: Salinity at 250 m



GOOD

GS / Labrador Current interactions: Salinity at 250 m







Ongoing work

Old



New



Extending refinement around Gulf Stream Extension



Ongoing work



Preliminary results: Improved path of Gulf Stream Extension



Ongoing work

Why is the Gulf Stream path being affected by the mesh resolution transition?







()



60 km resolution. High viscosity



Generating negative relative vorticity





60 km resolution. High viscosity



Directed North (higher *f*) to conserve Potential vorticity



Thank you



Extra slides





Danilov and Wang (2015)





High-resolution







Tail of the Grand Banks



Constant f





Stewart (2008) Fig 11.5 recreation of Stommel (1948) Fig. 4+5 https://www.colorado.edu/oclab/sites/default/files/attached-files/stewart_textbook.pdf 1. Why do we want higher resolution models?

